INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Ajmer regional office

| | Mine : | file No : Raj/Brm- 39 | /SE- | - 20 Mine code : 97RAJ04015 |
|----|--------------------------|--|------|--|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | 3: | SQ12) Sharma H.C. |
| | (ii) | Designation | : | Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | Sh. Shankar Singh, Representative of Lessee, & Sh. S |
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 01/02/2021 |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | : | |
| | | P2 | \RT- | I : GENERAL INFORMATION |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | DHARVI(166/2012) |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : | IBM/18753/2014 |
| | (c) (d) (e) | Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station | | BARMER Dharvi Shiv suryatransport0101@gmail.c 9414777105 Sheo |
| | (g) | First opening date | | 09/01/2017 |
| | (h) | Weekly day of rest | | SUN |
| 2. | | ess for espondance | : | Kaushal Singh S/O Amar Singh Shekhawat, Resident of A-16, Sainik Basti, Churu, Rajasthan- 341510 |
| 3. | (a) (b) (c) (d) | Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry | : | |
| 4. | Mine | ral worked | : | |

| 5. | Name and Address of | the | |
|----|---------------------|-----|--|
| | Lessee | : | KAUSHAL SINGH S/O SHRI AMAR SINGH |
| | | | A16, SAINIK BASTI CHURU CHURU RAJASTHAN Phone: |
| | | | FAX : |
| | Owner | : | Kaushal Singh S/O Sh. Amar Singh Shekhawat, Resident of A-16, Sainik Basti, Churu, Rajasthan CHURU RAJASTHAN Phone: 9414777105 |
| | | | FAX : |

6. Date of approval of Mining :
 Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Two exploratory pits were proposed in earlier approved mining plan. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Not specified | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No proposal | None | |
| ld | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | 4 ha | Nil | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | 4 ha. | 4 ha | |
| lf | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | Not applicable | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues & no proposed exploration could be done. | |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-------------------------------|--|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | proposed by | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 2b | in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule | proposed by making benches | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1.31 | Nil as mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 6669 Cu M | Nil | |

2e Quantity of 7549 T Nil overburden generation in m3
2f General remarks Not applicable The opencast mechanised of inspecting method proposed to work officers on the mineral. development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--------------------------|--|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Single | None | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 8800 T during 2019-20 | Nil | |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 90 % | Not applicable as mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 880 T during 2019-20 | Nil | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Not specfied | Not applicable | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal | Nil | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not applicable | Not applicable | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual | Manual | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal | Nil | |

| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | No proposal | None |
|----|--|--|---|
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | JCB & Tractor trolley combination. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Yes | Yes |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 2.0154 ha | 0.0016 ha |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1.31 | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 2.0154 ha | 0.0016 ha |
| 3р | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2017-18- 6300MT, 2018- 19-6300MT, 2019-20- 7920 MT | 2017-18- Nil MT, 2018- 19-NIL MT, 2019-20- Nil MT |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | Not applicable | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|---------------------------------|---|---------|
| 4a | | proposed to be backfilled in | Mining operations could e not be commenced due to local issues. | |

| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | The O/B waste proposed to be backfilled in excated area from Second year on wards after proving the absence of second bed of mineral. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
|----|--|--|--|
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | No proposal | Not applicable |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | No proposal of Dumping as waste obtained proposed to be backfilled in excavated area. | Not applicable |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | No proposal | Not applicable |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | No proposal | Not applicable |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | No proposal | Not applicable |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | No proposal | Not applicable |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | No proposal | Nil |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | None |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | Not applicable | The O/B waste proposed to be backfilled in excated area from Second year on wards after proving the absence of second bed of mineral. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Full extraction of Mineral | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
|----|---|---|---|
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | 0.7870 ha | Nil as mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Symultaneous backfilling of O/B waste in excated area. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | 0.7870 ha | NIL |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | Not applicable | There is no matured area available for backfilling & reclamation as the mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|----------------|---------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | Yes as per Rule | Not submitted. | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | 0.7870 ha after last approved plan period. | Nil | |
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | Proposed to plant 50 Trees per year in 0.1 ha. | Nil | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 50 plants per year. | Nil | |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | 150 plants to be planted so far. | Nil so far. | |

| 6£ | Any other method | No propogal | Nono |
|----|---|---|----------------|
| 01 | Any other method of rehabilitation | NO proposal | None |
| бg | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | No proposal | Nil |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | 0.7870 ha at the end of plan period | 0.0016 ha |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | No Tailings generation proposed | Not applicable |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | 50 plants per year | Nil |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal | Not applicable |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | No proposal | None |
| бm | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | No proposal | Nil |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | No proposal | Nil |

| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | No proposal | None |
|----|---|----------------|---|
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | No proposal | Nil |
| бq | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | Not applicable | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues, hence implementation of PMCP proposals were not made. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---------------------------|--|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | 7920 MT during 2019-20 | NIL as mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Single grade manualy. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Single grade | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No proposal | Nil | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | Not applicable | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. as such there is no issue of conservation of mineral in the mine. | |

Environment:

Sl.No. Item

| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | Yes Proposed. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
|----|--|---|--|
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Proposed to be backfilled & levelled. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Yes reject & waste proposed to bebackfilled in voids created by excavation in Pit. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Yes reject & waste proposed to bebackfilled in voids created by excavation in Pit. | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Symultaneous backfilling of waste & reject proposed. | None |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Not specified | Nil Plantation done |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not specified | Nil |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Yes | Mining operations could not be commenced due to local issues. |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | Not applicable | The general aesthatic beauty in & around the mine had not been disturbed. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No. Item

_

| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Not | applicable | No monthly return & annual return submitted so far, for which violation letter is proposed to be issued. | |
|----|--|-----|------------|--|--|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Not | applicable | Not applicable | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Not | applicable | Not applicable | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Not | applicable | Not applicable | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | | applicable | Not applicable | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | applicable | Not applicable | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Not | applicable | Not applicable | |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Not | applicable | Not applicable | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Not | applicable | Not applicable | |

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Viola | tion observed | Show couse position | | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | | |

Date :

(Sharma H.C.)

Indian Bureau of Mines